| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)         |              |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--------------|--|
|  | 10/677,309   | SUZUKI ET AL.        |              |  |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit             |              |  |
|  | Maureen Gramaglia  | 1792                 |              |  |
| All Participants:  | ts: Status of Application: Notice of Allowability attached |                      |              |  |
| (1) <u>Maureen Gramaglia</u> .   | (3)  |                      |              |  |
| (2) <u>Stefan Koschmieder</u> .  | (4)  |                      |              |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>18 November 2009</u>   | Time:  |                      |              |  |
| Type of Interview:   |  |                      |              |  |
| Part I.  |  |                      |              |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A  |  |                      |              |  |
| Claims discussed:<br>1, 5-7, 10, 16, 21, 22, 27, and 28  |  |                      |              |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A   |  |                      |              |  |
| Part II.   |  |                      |              |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet   |  |                      |              |  |
| Part III.  |  |                      |              |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |  |                      |              |  |
| /Maureen Gramaglia/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1792   | Applicant/Applicant's Representat                          | ive Signature – if : | annronriate) |  |
| (*   | FF Tunning Tropicson                                       | 11.                  | FP-SP-2000)  |  |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expeditiously move the instant application to allowance, Examiner proposed to amend the preamble of Claim 1 to recite the used silicon wafer having copper penetrated into the interior of the wafer, in order to clarify and provide full antecedent basis for the recitation later in the claim that the step of contacting the wafer with at least one of an SC1 and an SC2 liquid diffuses copper form the interior of the silicon wafer to the silicon surface of the silicon wafer. Examiner further proposed to cancel dependent Claims 5-7, 10, 16, 21, 22, 27, 28. In a follow-up call on 20 November 2009, Applicant's Representative agreed that the changes as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment could be made by examiner's amendment.